



### Supplemental Method

We examined the effect of different  $V_0$  in determining  $\beta$ ,  $E_{ed}$  as described previously [25]. We derived  $V_0'$  by calculating the X-intercept of the ESPVR line passing through (ESV obtained from CMR,  $ESP_{modified}$ ), (EDV obtained from CMR,  $P_{max}$ ) (Figure 1B). The average  $V_0'$  was 12.8 mL (IQR: -5.8–31.4 mL). The  $\beta$  and  $E_{ed}$  were calculated under the assumption that  $V_0 = 0$  ( $\beta_{V_0=0}$  and  $E_{ed_{V_0=0}}$ ), which were derived from estimated EDPVR using [(0,0), (ESV obtained from CMR, 1), (EDV obtained from CMR, normalized RVEDP)] described in the Methods section. We also calculated  $\beta_{V_0'}$  and  $E_{ed_{V_0'}}$  by using ( $V_0'$ , 0) instead of (0, 0) when approximating EDPVR (Figure 1C). We compared  $\beta_{V_0=0}$  and  $E_{ed_{V_0=0}}$  with  $\beta_{V_0'}$  and  $E_{ed_{V_0'}}$  to examine the impact of different  $V_0$  on calculating  $\beta$  and  $E_{ed}$ .

Supplemental Figure 1; (A) Scatter plot of  $\beta_{V_0=0}$  and  $\beta_{V_0'}$ . (B) Scatter plot of  $E_{ed_{V_0=0}}$  and  $E_{ed_{V_0'}}$ . Significant correlations were obtained for both  $\beta$  and  $E_{ed}$  ( $\beta$ :  $R = 0.99$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ,  $E_{ed}$ :  $R = 1.00$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ).

$\beta$ , diastolic stiffness coefficient;  $E_{ed}$ , end-diastolic elastance; EDPVR, end-diastolic pressure-volume relationship; ESV, end-systolic volume; CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging; RVEDP, right ventricular end-diastolic pressure.